

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,063	07/13/2001	Jun Watanabe	450100-03345	3458
20999 7590 04/18/2007 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AV	'ENUE- 10TH FL.	•	BOCCIO, VINCENT F	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/905,063	WATANABE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vincent F. Boccio	2165		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY AND ARCHITCH THE PROPERTY ARCHITCH THE PROPERTY ARCHITCH THE PROPERTY ARCHITCH THE PROPERTY ARCHITCH THE	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Elec	tion dated 1/31/07.			
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.	·		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,11 and 12</u> is/are pending in the a	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. ,			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Appl	ication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea	iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumi			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		ail Date mal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/04</u> .	6)  Other:	••		

Application/Control Number: 09/905,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

#### DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2165.

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 7-10 have been cancelled from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention identified as Group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/31/07.

### Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- {A} Claim 12, line 4, recites, "of <u>s</u> first",
  o the examiner suggest, "of a first".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshizumi Mano et al. (GB 2 2329 997).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 11, Mano discloses and meets associated with a method and corresponding apparatus, for recording and reproducing a video signal in a system capable of simultaneous recording (abstract, "simultaneously and instantaneous playback and/or concurrently playing ... a previous"), an image to a medium and reproducing the image, the apparatus/device comprising:

recording video and identifying signals (recording);

Art Unit: 2165

- reading by reproducing, video with respect to the ID signals, video re-arranged (page 9, lines 7-18 and page 10, lines 19-20, "edited, the viewer can replay selected portions", page 13, lines 24-26, segments Identified through address information, page 16, lines 6-);
- wherein, whether there exists a video signal under in the medium is checked and upon completion of the recording, signals recorded can be reproduced (met by a recording event, the current time is checked against stored end time of the recording event is checked, when the same the recording event is ended, see page 8, line 27-, "starting and ending times and the channel or source of the program");
- wherein upon completion of recording video signals can be reproduced, claim 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. This application currently names joint inventors. considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,063

Art Unit: 2165

4. Claim 2-3, 5-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mano et al. (GB 2 2329 997).

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Mano discloses a recording event, but, fails to particularly disclose wherein the schedule timed event time is included in the identifying signals.

The examiner takes official notice that providing event times in a broadcasting signal are well known, thereby the identifying signals represent EPG data for future available programs (normally display to a user for selection of desired programming for viewing as well as recording).

The user can utilize EPG data to set events for recording, therefore, the times for commencing recording as well as ending the event are stored in view of the EPG data in the recording system to trigger recording of events, which can be provided in the broadcast, thereby providing EPG data, for users allowing for ease of programming events as is well known and obvious to those skilled in the art, therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mano by extracting ID signals from the broadcast to obtain EPG data to be used to set or program events with start and end time ID data providing a simple way for users to set events, wherein the programmed times for events is compared with a local clock to trigger, starting and ending of event recording, using EPG data to program the events, as is well known in the art.

Claims 3 and 6 are analyzed and discussed with respect to the claims above, which is met by having event times set, utilizing the local clock to compare event times, to trigger recording and well as end recording based on event times, from EPG data, stored locally in comparison with local clock.

Regarding claim 12, the combination as applied fails to particularly disclose a broadcasting signal including Date, title and classification and wherein the system has an ID signal related to history indicating playback has not been performed yet.

The examiner takes official notice that EPG data can include information such as Date and title and also systems can track or provide means to identify if a recording has been played, these systems are also known for automatic event setting and having memory management issues, the identifying when playback, allows for change of status to viewed, allowing for setting that played program space for use by a new program, as is well known.

Art Unit: 2165

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination by having EPG data including date and title and even classification in order to provide identification of programs to users, date and title and to keep track is viewed programs, thereby maintaining a status of viewed to utilize the program space for new programs, as is well known in the art.

### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record Vincent F. Boccio whose telephone number is (571) 272-7373.

The examiner can normally be reached on between Monday thru Friday between (7:30 am to 5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 4/16/07

WINCENT BOCCIO PRIMARY EXAMINER